



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,778	03/03/2004	Pierre Comilleau	0513-1099	3225

466 7590 05/02/2007
YOUNG & THOMPSON
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET
2ND FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

ING, MATTHEW W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3637

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/02/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10790778	3/3/2004	CORNILLEAU, PIERRE	0513-1099

YOUNG & THOMPSON
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET
2ND FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

Matthew W. Ing

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3637

20070426

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached interview summary.

Jose V. Chen
Primary Examiner

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,778	CORNILLEAU, PIERRE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew W. Ing	3637	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew W. Ing.

(2) Robert J. Patch (Registration No. 17,355).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: 1126 hrs

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed applicant that a proposed Substitute Amendment, received by the examiner on an unofficial fax number, had been improperly filed. Applicant agreed to refile the aforementioned amendment using the proper fax number.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jose V. Chen
 Primary Examiner



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)